EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW Y		
ADRIAN ANGEVIN,		AMENDED COMPLAINT
	Plaintiff,	
		11 CV 4443
-against-		(WFK) (RML)
		Jury Trial Demanded
CITY OF NEW YORK, MICHAEI		
JAMES VILAICHIT, Individually,	ANTHONY CIMMINO,	
JONATHAN HERBST, Individual		
Individually, Individually, and JOH	• •	
10, individually and in their official		
and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the	e true names are presently	
unknown),	• •	

-----X

-----

Plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN, by his attorneys, Leventhal & Klein, LLP, complaining of the defendants, respectfully alleges as follows:

Defendants.

#### **Preliminary Statement**

1. Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983 and 1988 for violations of his civil rights, as said rights are secured by said statutes and the Constitutions of the State of New York and the United States. Plaintiff also asserts supplemental state law claims.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983 and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Plaintiff asserts supplemental state law claims pursuant to common law and the New York State Constitution.
  - 3. Jurisdiction is found upon 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343 and 1367.

#### **VENUE**

4. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that this is the District in which the claim arose.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

5. Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury of all issues in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 (b).

### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is a thirty-nine year old African American man presently residing in Staten Island, New York.
- 7. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 8. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK maintains the New York City Police Department (hereinafter referred to as "NYPD"), a duly authorized public authority and/or police department, authorized to perform all functions of a police department as per the applicable sections of the aforementioned municipal corporation, CITY OF NEW YORK.
- 9. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the individually named defendants, MICHAEL MCAVOY, JAMES VILAICHIT, JONATHAN HERBST, ANTHONY CIMMINO, MELISSA TACORONTE, and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10, were duly sworn police officers of said department and were acting under the supervision of said department and according to their official duties.
- 10. That at all times hereinafter mentioned the defendants, either personally or through their employees, were acting under color of state law and/or in compliance with the official rules, regulations, laws, statutes, customs, usages and/or practices of the State of New

York and/or the City of New York.

11. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.

#### **FACTS**

- 12. On April 10, 2011, at approximately 2:00 p.m., outside of plaintiff's home located at 75 Trantor Place, Staten Island, New York, the defendant officers brutally and unjustifiably assaulted and battered plaintiff.
- 13. In particular, the defendants MICHAEL MCAVOY, JAMES VILAICHIT, JONATHAN HERBST, and ANTHONY CIMMINO, grabbed plaintiff, slammed him to the ground, and repeatedly punched and kicked him in the head and body. During this brutal and unjustified attack, a defendant officer, believed to be CIMMINO, kicked plaintiff with great force in his left eye. All of this occurred in the presence of MELISSA TACORONTE, a police supervisor, who stood by and failed to intervene.
- 14. The defendants' acts of brutality caused plaintiff to suffer serious physical injuries that included, but were not limited to, a ruptured globe of the left eye and a laceration on plaintiff's nose.
- 15. After the defendant officers beat plaintiff, defendant VILAICHIT accompanied plaintiff to Richmond University Medical Center where plaintiff underwent emergency medical treatment, including surgery to repair plaintiff's eye, and stitches to close the laceration on his nose.
  - 16. Plaintiff was discharged from the hospital in the afternoon of April 11, 2011.
  - 17. The defendants thereafter transported plaintiff to the 120<sup>th</sup> police precinct

stationhouse and imprisoned plaintiff therein.

- 18. The defendant officers caused plaintiff to be imprisoned until his arraignment in Richmond County Criminal Court on April 12, 2011.
- 19. Defendant MELISSA TACORONTE supervised defendants MICHAEL MCAVOY, JAMES VILAICHIT, JONATHAN HERBST, ANTHONY CIMMINO and approved of, oversaw, and otherwise presided over the defendants' use of excessive force.
- 20. In addition, MELISSA TACORONTE, who was present during the aforementioned events, failed to intervene in the illegal conduct described herein, despite a meaningful opportunity to do so.
- 21. All of the above occurred as a direct result of the unconstitutional policies, customs or practices of the City of New York, including, without limitation, the inadequate screening, hiring, retaining, training, and supervising of its employees, due to discrimination against plaintiff based on his race, and due to a *de facto* policy of falsification.
- 22. The aforesaid event is not an isolated incident. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is aware (from lawsuits, notices of claims, and complaints field with the NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau, and the CITY OF NEW YORK'S Civilian Complaint Review Board) that many NYPD officers, including the defendants, are insufficiently trained regarding the use of force, and that they engage in falsification.
- 23. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is further aware that such improper training has often resulted in a deprivation of civil rights. Despite such notice, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK has failed to take corrective action. This failure caused the officers in the present case to violate the plaintiffs' civil rights.
  - 24. Moreover, upon information and belief, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK was

aware, prior to the incident, that the individual defendants lacked the objectivity, temperament, maturity, discretion, and disposition to be employed as police officers. Despite such notice, defendant CITY of NEW YORK has retained these officers, and failed to adequately train and supervise them.

25. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN sustained, *inter alia*, physical injuries, emotional distress, embarrassment, and humiliation, and deprivation of his liberty and his constitutional rights.

# AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Deprivation of Rights Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "25" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants, their agents, servants and employees were carried out under the color of state law.
- 28. All of the aforementioned acts deprived plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN, a member of a racial minority, of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983.
- 29. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, with the entire actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto, and with the intent to discriminate on the basis of race.
- 30. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, pursuant to the customs, usages, practices, procedures, and the rules of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police

Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

- 31. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.
- 32. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Excessive Force under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 33. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "32" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. The level of force employed by defendants was excessive, objectively unreasonable and otherwise in violation of plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN'S constitutional rights.
- 35. As a result of the aforementioned conduct of defendants, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN was subjected to excessive force and sustained physical injuries.
- 36. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

### AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of the Equal Protection Clause under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

37. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "36" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

- 38. The defendants assaulted and battered plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN, because of his national origin, and/or race, or otherwise failed to intervene to prevent such treatment committed in their presence by other NYPD officers.
- 39. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN was deprived of his rights under the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution.
- 40. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

### AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Intervene under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 41. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "40" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. Defendants had an affirmative duty to intervene on behalf of plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN, whose constitutional rights were being violated in their presence by other officers.
- 43. The defendants failed to intervene to prevent the unlawful conduct described herein.
- 44. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN was subjected to excessive force and he was put in fear of his safety.
- 45. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

### AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Supervisory Liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 46. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "45" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. The supervisory defendants personally caused plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN'S constitutional injury by being deliberately or consciously indifferent to the rights of others in failing to properly supervise and train their subordinate employees.
- 48. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

# AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Municipal Liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

- 49. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "48" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.
- 51. The aforementioned customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York Police Department included, but were not limited to, using excessive force against individuals. In addition, the City of New York engaged in a policy, custom or practice of inadequate screening, hiring, retaining, training, and supervising its employees that was the moving force behind the violation of plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN'S rights as described herein. As a result of the failure of the City of New York to properly recruit, screen, train, discipline, and

supervise its officers, including the individual defendants, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK has tacitly authorized, ratified, and has been deliberately indifferent to, the acts and conduct complained of herein.

- 52. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York Police Department constituted deliberate indifference to the safety, well-being and constitutional rights of plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN.
- 53. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department were the direct and proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN as alleged herein.
- 54. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department were the moving force behind the Constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN as alleged herein.
- 55. As a result of the foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN was subjected to excessive force.
- 56. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, were directly and actively involved in violating plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN'S constitutional rights.
- 57. All of the foregoing acts by defendants deprived plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN of federally protected rights, including, but not limited to, the right:
  - A. To be free from the use of excessive force and/or the failure to intervene; and

- B. To receive equal protection under law.
- 58. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

#### Supplemental State Law Claims

- 59. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "58" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. Within ninety (90) days after the claim herein accrued, plaintiff duly served upon, presented to and filed with the CITY OF NEW YORK, a Notice of Claim setting forth all facts and information required under the General Municipal Law 50-e.
- 61. The CITY OF NEW YORK has wholly neglected or refused to make an adjustment or payment thereof and more then thirty (30) days have elapsed since the presentation of such claim as aforesaid.
- 62. This action was commenced within one (1) year and ninety (90) days after the cause of action herein accrued.
- 63. This action falls within one or more of the exceptions as outlined in C.P.L.R. 1602.

## AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Assault under the laws of the State of New York)

- 64. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "63" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
  - 65. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN was placed in

apprehension of imminent harmful and offensive bodily contact.

66. As a result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN has suffered physical pain and mental anguish, together with shock, fright, apprehension, embarrassment, and humiliation.

67. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

## AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Battery under the laws of the State of New York)

- 68. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "67" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
  - 69. Defendants made offensive contact with plaintiff without privilege or consent.
- 70. As a result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff has suffered serious physical injuries, pain and mental anguish, together with shock, fright, apprehension, embarrassment, and humiliation.
- 71. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

### AS AND FOR A NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress under the laws of the State of New York)

72. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "71" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

- 73. The aforementioned conduct was extreme and outrageous, and exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency.
- 74. The aforementioned conduct was committed by defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.
- 75. The aforementioned conduct was committed by defendants while acting in furtherance of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.
- 76. The aforementioned conduct was intentional and for the sole purpose of causing severe emotional distress to plaintiff.
- 77. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, plaintiff suffered emotional distress, physical and mental injury, together with embarrassment, humiliation, shock, fright, and loss of freedom.
- 78. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

## AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligent Screening, Hiring, and Retention under the laws of the State of New York)

- 79. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraph numbered "1" through "78" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 80. Upon information and belief, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK failed to use reasonable care in the screening, hiring and retention of the aforesaid defendants who conducted and participated in the arrest of plaintiff.
  - 81. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK knew, or should have know in the exercise of

reasonable care, the propensities of the individual defendants to engage in the wrongful conduct heretofore alleged in this Complaint.

82. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

## AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligent Training and Supervision under the laws of the State of New York)

- 83. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "82" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 84. Upon information and belief the defendant CITY OF NEW YORK failed to use reasonable care in the training and supervision of the aforesaid defendants who conducted and participated in the arrest of and excessive use of force against plaintiff.
- 85. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

## AS AND FOR A TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence under the laws of the State of New York)

- 86. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "85" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 87. Plaintiff's injuries herein were caused by the carelessness, recklessness and negligence of the defendant CITY OF NEW YORK and its employees and agents, who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct

described herein.

88. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

## AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Respondeat Superior liability under the laws of the State of New York)

- 89. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "88" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 90. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is vicariously liable for the acts of its employees and agents who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein.
- 91. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

### AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of N.Y.S. Constitution Article 1 §11)

- 92. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "91" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.
- 93. As a result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff was deprived of his right to equal protection of laws.
- 94. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive

damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN demands judgment and prays for the following relief, jointly and severally, against the defendants:

- (A) full and fair compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- (B) punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- (C) reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- (D) such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York June 10, 2013

> LEVENTHAL & KLEIN, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIAN ANGEVIN 45 Main Street, Suite 230 Brooklyn, New York 11201

(718) 722 - 4100

By:

BRETT H. KLEIN (BK4744)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
ADRIAN ANGEVIN,		
-against-	Plaintiff, 11 CV4443 (WFK) (RML)	
CITY OF NEW YORK, MICHAEL MCAVOY, Individually, JAMES VILAICHIT, Individually, ANTHONY CIMMINO, JONATHAN HEBST, Individually, MELISSA TACORONTE, Individually, Individually, and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10, individually and in their official capacities, (the names John and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the true names are presently unknown),		
	Defendants.	
	X	

### AMENDED COMPLAINT

LEVENTHAL & KLEIN, LLP

Attorneys for the Plaintiff 45 Main Street, Suite 230 Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 722-4100